

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems®

RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2021GC009989

Key Points:

- The density and magnetic susceptibility of ultramafic rocks change predictably during serpentinization (hydration) and carbonation
- Density is most effective at predicting the extent of alteration, whereas magnetic susceptibility may be subject to significant variability
- Physical properties can predict the mineralogy, alteration extent, and potential of ultramafic rocks for carbon sequestration

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

J. A. Cutts, jcutts@eoas.ubc.ca

Citation:

Cutts, J. A., Steinthorsdottir, K., Turvey, C., Dipple, G. M., Enkin, R. J., & Peacock, S. M. (2021). Deducing mineralogy of serpentinized and carbonated ultramafic rocks using physical properties with implications for carbon sequestration and subduction zone dynamics. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems,* 22, e2021GC009989. https://doi. org/10.1029/2021GC009989

Received 21 JUN 2021 Accepted 30 AUG 2021

© 2021. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Deducing Mineralogy of Serpentinized and Carbonated Ultramafic Rocks Using Physical Properties With Implications for Carbon Sequestration and Subduction Zone Dynamics

J. A. Cutts¹, K. Steinthorsdottir¹, C. Turvey¹, G. M. Dipple¹, R. J. Enkin², and S. M. Peacock¹

¹Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, CarbMin Lab, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ²Geological Survey of Canada-Pacific, Sidney, BC, Canada

Abstract Serpentinization of ultramafic rocks is fundamental to modern plate tectonics and for volatile (re-)cycling into the mantle and magmatic arcs. Serpentinites are also highly reactive with CO₂ such that they are prime targets for carbon sequestration. Serpentinization and carbonation of ultramafic rocks results in changes in their physical properties such that they should be detectable using geophysical surveys; this could provide constraint on the reactivity of rocks without extensive sample characterization. We constrain the physio-chemical relationships in altered ophiolitic ultramafic rocks using petrographic observations, major-element chemistry, quantitative X-ray diffraction, and physical properties on a suite of >400 samples from the Canadian Cordillera. Serpentinization results in a systematic decrease in density that reflects the increase in serpentine abundance and carbonation results in an increase in density, mostly reflecting the formation of magnesite; based on these data, we present two formulations for determining extent of serpentinization: one based on major-element chemistry and the other on density. Magnetic susceptibility is variable during serpentinization; most harzburgitic samples show a 100-fold increase in magnetic susceptibility, whereas most dunitic samples and a minor proportion of harzburgitic samples show very little change in magnetic susceptibility. We use quantitative mineralogy and physical properties of the samples to constrain a model for using density and magnetic susceptibility to approximate the mineralogy of ultramafic rock. Although further work is required to understand the role of remanence in applying these models to geophysical data, this presents an advancement and opportunity to prospect for the most reactive ultramafic rocks for carbon sequestration.

Plain Language Summary When mantle rocks interact with water, minerals are formed that are highly reactive with CO_2 . These processes naturally occur both at the Earth's surface, where they serve to sequester CO_2 from the atmosphere, and deep in subduction zones, where they play a fundamental role to how crust is recycled and to the generation of earthquakes. Typically, determining the extent to which a rock can trap CO_2 requires extensive sample characterization, which is time- and cost-intensive. The hydration of mantle rocks also results in systematic changes to their physical properties, such as density and reaction to magnetism. These variations in physical properties could be used to identify such rocks using airborne geophysical techniques to rapidly and cheaply identify rocks of interest. We use the chemistry, mineralogy, and physical properties of >400 samples to quantify how these vary during the hydration and carbonation of mantle rocks to develop models that can be used to identify or infer which rocks are the most prospective for carbon sequestration. As some mineral deposits may be hosted in mantle rocks suiteable for carbon sequestration, these physical property constraints provide a means to quantify the potential for a mine to contribute to offsetting CO_2 emissions.

1. Introduction

Ultramafic rocks comprise the largest volumetric portion of the oceanic lithosphere and their alteration is a fundamentally important geologic process on Earth. The high fluid flow associated with serpentinization alters the physio-chemical properties of the oceanic lithosphere, by weakening and allowing it to flex and subduct more easily, and so contribute to delivering volatiles into the mantle wedge and magmatic arcs (e.g., Escartín et al., 1997, 2001; Guillot & Hattori, 2013). Long-term preservation of ultramafic rocks originating

in the oceanic lithosphere (abyssal peridotites) occurs in the geologic record as the lowermost structural portion of ophiolitic sequences that have been obducted during orogeny (Bodinier & Godard, 2014; Juteau, 2003). Ophiolitic ultramafic rocks and their hydrated (serpentinites) and carbonated equivalents are observed in all major Phanerozoic orogenic belts (Bodinier & Godard, 2014 and references therein) and may host Ni-Fe-Cu-PGE mineralization and, thus, have historically been, and continue to be common targets for resource exploration (e.g., Britten, 2017; Hulbert, 1997). Recent work has demonstrated that (serpentinized) ultramafic rocks are particularly reactive with carbon dioxide to the extent that they are prime targets for carbon sequestration (e.g., Kelemen & Matter, 2009; Kelemen et al., 2018, 2020; Mervine et al., 2018; Power et al., 2013; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2020). Mineral carbonation may be achieved using either ex situ or in situ techniques; the former involves exposure of fine-grained material, such as mine tailings, to either atmospheric CO, or from a concentrated source, whereas the latter involves injecting CO, to a depth of >2 km. Ex situ techniques take advantage of the increased reactive surface area of tailings and the high reactivity of minerals such as brucite (e.g., Power et al., 2013, 2018, 2020; Vanderzee et al., 2019), whereas in situ techniques exploit the elevated temperatures and pressures at depth to accelerate reactions and fully carbonate the rock (e.g., Kelemen & Matter, 2009; Kelemen et al., 2018). As resource extraction invariably requires the consumption of energy and emission of CO₂, whether it be for transportation of materials and personnel or for ore processing/extraction, any deposit hosted in ultramafic rocks should explore their potential to sequester CO, and so offset or prevent emissions and promote an environmentally sustainable mining industry (e.g., Hamilton et al., 2020; Power et al., 2013, 2018, 2020; Wilson et al., 2014).

Although there are many possible reactions involved in serpentinization (e.g., Toft et al., 1990), they can be simplified:

R1: olivine \pm orthopyroxene + H₂O \rightarrow serpentine \pm brucite \pm magnetite

Clinopyroxene may also be present; however, it is particularly resistant to alteration and will, instead, typically result in the formation of chlorite or tremolite (Bodinier & Godard, 2014). The abundance of minerals occurring in serpentinites, their chemistry, and the extent of serpentinization are subject to significant variability depending on such factors as olivine/pyroxene in the protolith, extent of melt extraction, Mg/ Fe in the primary silicates, and temperature, pH, silica activity, and oxygen fugacity of the system (e.g., Evans, 2008; Evans et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2013, 2014, 2020; McCollum, Klein, Moskowitz, et al., 2020; McCollum, Klein, Solheid, & Moskowitz, 2020; Miyoshi et al., 2014; O'Hanley & Dyar, 1993; D. Peters et al., 2020). Commonly, serpentinization is considered to be largely isochemical with respect to the major-element cations (Mg, Fe, Ca, Si), involving primarily the addition of water (e.g., Deschamps et al., 2013; Klein et al., 2020; Kodolányi et al., 2012; Niu, 2004; D. Peters et al., 2020). However, nonisochemical Si-metasomatism and/or Mg-loss/replacement by Ca has been inferred in abyssal peridotites at mid-ocean ridges (e.g., Back et al., 2004; de Obeso & Kelemen, 2018; Malvoisin, 2015; Paulick et al., 2006; Snow & Dick, 1995).

Highly serpentinized ultramafic rocks are particularly susceptible to carbonation by CO_2 -bearing fluids and follow three simplified reactions (e.g., Hansen et al., 2005):

R2: olivine + brucite + CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow serpentine + magnesite + H_2O R3: serpentine + CO_2 \rightarrow magnesite + talc + H_2O R4: talc + CO_2 \rightarrow magnesite + quartz + H_2O

Carbonation is also commonly considered to behave isochemically with respect to the major-element cations (Falk & Kelemen, 2015; Hansen et al., 2005; Menzel et al., 2018), resulting primarily in the formation of (hydro-)magnesite (e.g., Hansen et al., 2005), except for at high degrees of alteration in which some Ca may be added to the system (e.g., Bideau et al., 1991; Falk & Kelemen, 2015). These carbonation reactions serve as a proxy for the reactions that are commonly used in experimental carbon sequestration models (e.g., Power et al., 2020).

Serpentinization and carbonation reactions result in changes in the physical properties of ultramafic rocks, particularly density and magnetic susceptibility (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Oufi et al., 2002; Toft et al., 1990); density is directly related to the volume-integrated density of the rock's mineralogy, whereas magnetic susceptibility is related to the concentration, distribution, and size of ferro-magnetic

minerals—primarily magnetite (Enkin et al., 2020; Tauxe, 2010). Pristine ophiolitic ultramafic rocks should have density and magnetic susceptibility values similar to those of olivine and pyroxene $(3.10-3.30 \text{ g/cm}^3 \text{ and } \sim 1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ SI}$, respectively). Because serpentinites are dominated by serpentine, brucite (densities of 2.57 and 2.39 g/cm³, respectively) (O'Hanley, 1996), and magnetite, highly serpentinized rocks are typically less dense and show a higher magnetic susceptibility than their unaltered protoliths (e.g., Bonnemains et al., 2016; Henkel, 1994; Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Miller & Christensen, 1997; Toft et al., 1990). Serpentinization may also result in a volume increase of up to 40%–50% (e.g., Hostetler et al., 1966; Klein & Le Roux, 2020). Although serpentinites do not typically show the particularly high porosity (e.g., Plümper et al., 2012) that would be expected considering the significant volume change, the inherent porosity of serpentine and brucite mineral structures may be sufficient to accommodate the fluid flow required for serpentinization to proceed (Tutolo et al., 2016). Carbonation reactions consume serpentine, brucite, and magnetite to form magnesite with subordinate talc and quartz (Hansen et al., 2005; Kelemen & Matter, 2009; Menzel et al., 2018); magnesite has a density of ~3.00 g/cm³. Thus, fully carbonated ultramafic rocks should be denser and show lower magnetic susceptibilities than serpentinites (e.g., Hansen et al., 2005; Tominaga et al., 2017).

The physical properties associated with the serpentinization and carbonation of ultramafic rocks have been studied for decades in various capacities (e.g., Bina & Henry, 1990; Burch, 1968; Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Saad, 1969; Toft et al., 1990; Whiteford & Lumb, 1975). However, most studies have focused on one or the other process (cf. Hansen et al., 2005; Toft et al., 1990) and typically focus on the behavior of the volumetrically dominant harzburgitic rocks (e.g., Maffione et al., 2014; Toft et al., 1990). Additionally, most studies focus on either the geochemistry or physical properties of serpentinites. In this contribution, we use >400 samples of variably serpentinized and carbonated ophiolitic ultramafic rocks collected from the Cache Creek/Atlin terrane in the western Canadian Cordillera (Figure 1) and combine petrographic observations, major-element chemistry, estimates of mineral abundance through quantitative X-ray diffraction (qXRD) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and physical properties (magnetic susceptibility, density, porosity, natural remanent magnetization [NRM]) to constrain the relationships and changes in physical properties that occur during alteration and to determine if and how these may vary as a function of location, protolith, and degree of alteration. Using these results, we present several formulations for determining the %serpentinization of a given sample using chemistry and physical properties and we present a model that uses the physical properties to estimate—at a first order—the mineralogical variability in ophiolitic ultramafic rocks. Due to the carbonation potential of highly serpentinized rocks, we suggest applications of our results to geophysical survey analysis with the goal of remotely identifying the most prospective ultramafic rocks for carbon sequestration.

1.1. Study Sites

The 441 samples in this study were collected from the western Canadian Cordillera by various research groups (e.g., Hansen et al., 2004, 2005; McGoldrick et al., 2017, 2018; Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019; Milidragovic et al., 2018; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2020; Zagorevski et al., 2018 and references therein) from the traditional territories of the Taku River Klingit, Kaska Dena, Tāłtān Konelīne, Carcross/Tagish, Teslin Tlingit, Tl'azt'en, Binche Whut'en, Yekooche, and Takla First Nations. All localities comprise rocks that are part of the Atlin (equivalent to the undivided Cache Creek in southern B.C.) terrane (Figure 1), which represents Middle Permian to Middle Triassic discontinuous, dismembered ophiolitic massifs that may have formed either as ocean core complexes or in supra-subduction zone settings (Zagorevski et al., 2018). The samples were not subjected to greater than greenschist-facies conditions post-emplacement (Hansen et al., 2005; Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2020; Zagorevski et al., 2018) and, thus, should preserve their serpentinization- and carbonation-related physical properties (Shive et al., 1988). The northern segment is subdivided into the ophiolitic Atlin terrane and sedimentary overlap assemblages of the Cache Creek complex, whereas the southern segment remains undivided (Zagorevski et al., 2018). The sample set is dominated by rocks from the Decar, Atlin, and Nahlin areas with complementary samples from King Mountain, Hogem, and South Yukon. A summary of the sample suites and data sets used as part of this study are provided in Table S1 and all sample location information and data are given in Table S2.





Figure 1. Lithotectonic map of the Canadian Cordillera (after Colpron & Nelson, 2011) indicating the six main localities used in this study. Note that the extent of the Cache Creek (gray transparent field) and Atlin terranes are clearly demarcated in northern BC/southern Yukon, whereas they are not sub-divided in the south; subdivision after Zagorevski et al. (2018). Town abbreviations: CC, Cache Creek; DL, Dease Lake; FSJ, Fort St. James. Province abbreviations: AB, Alberta; BC, British Columbia; MB, Manitoba; NT, Northwest Territories; NU, Nunavut; ON, Ontario; SK, Saskatchewan; YT, Yukon Territory.

2. Materials and Methods

We mostly relied on published major-element chemistry data sets (Hansen, 2005; McGoldrick et al., 2017, 2018; Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019; Steinthorsdottir, 2021; Zagorevski, 2020). Samples from the Hogem area did not have corresponding published major-element chemistry and these were sent to Geoscience Laboratories for lithogeochemical analysis using the XRF-M01 and IRC-100 packages (https://www.mndm.gov.on.ca/sites/default/files/2021_geo_labs_brochure.pdf). Samples that did not have published CO₂ estimates were analyzed for their total inorganic carbon at the Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia (EOAS-UBC) using a UIC Inc. CM5130 acidification module and a CM5014 carbon dioxide coulometer.

Quantitative mineralogy for all whole-rock powders was determined by qXRD at the Electron Microbeam and X-ray Diffraction Facility, EOAS-UBC; Atlin samples of Hansen (2005) were analyzed in 2004, whereas all other samples were analyzed in 2019–2020; for all instrumentation details and running conditions, see Table S3. Qualitative results for Atlin samples are reported in Hansen (2005) and quantitative estimates for the samples were then determined as part of this study. As qXRD estimates for minerals occurring in low abundance (~1%) are relatively uncertain, brucite abundances from Atlin and Decar samples were further characterized by TGA. The robust correlations between the qXRD and TGA data sets from Atlin and Decar was used to correct the qXRD results, for which there are a greater abundance of data across the sample set (Figure S1). The correlations between qXRD and TGA data from the two localities differed, which is expected given the different instrumentation and analytical settings; qXRD of Atlin samples were corrected using their respective correction factor, whereas the qXRD of all other samples were corrected according to the Decar correction factor. All weight% (wt%) qXRD results have been converted to volume% (vol%) abundances using typical densities for each mineral as this more directly relates to the physical properties.

The magnetic susceptibility and density of all samples were first measured at EOAS-UBC. Magnetic susceptibility (κ) was measured using a ZH Instruments SM30 and applying thickness and demagnetization corrections (Table S4 and Figure S2). The reported values reflect the mean of five measurements and the uncertainty reflects the 2 SD. Densities were determined using the masses of samples in air and in water using specific gravity mode of an A&D Company Ltd. EJ-6100 balance. To assess the accuracy of our magnetic susceptibility and density measurements, and to provide additional information on the porosity and NRM, a subset of samples that reflect the full diversity of rock types and degrees of alteration were sent to the Paleomagnetism and Petrophysics Laboratory (PPL) at the Geological Survey of Canada-Pacific (GSC-P) in Sidney, British Columbia; all reported porosity and NRM values reflect analyses done at the PPL. Measurements at PPL were done on a 2.5 cm diameter core of unweathered material using the methodology and instrumentation as described in Enkin et al. (2020). Comparison of physical property results from EOAS-UBC and GSC-P show a near 1:1 linear relationship with an R^2 of 0.92 for density (Figure S3a) and a near-linear relationship of log (magnetic susceptibility) with an R^2 of 0.97. Both data sets show slight offset to higher values for the GSC data (Figure S3b) and, as such, EOAS-UBC measurements were corrected based on the relationships of the two data sets. The final physical property values that are reported reflect GSC-P physical property measurements when available, otherwise, the corrected EOAS-UBC were used.

3. Petrographic Observations, Protoliths, and Volatile Behavior

3.1. Petrographic Observations

Relatively fresh dunitic rocks consist dominantly of 1–6-mm-sized equigranular olivine and primary spinel (Figure 2a). Serpentine in relatively fresh samples is primarily localized along grain boundaries and fine intragrain fractures (Figure 2a). With increasing degrees of serpentinization, olivine grains are cut by serpentine veins of increasing thickness (Figure 2d) until they are fully replaced by mesh texture (Figure 2g); serpentine occurring as massive veins and as mesh texture are likely lizardite (e.g., Viti, 2010; Wicks & Whittaker, 1977). Brucite increases in abundance with increasing degrees of serpentinization; in fresher rocks, it occurs in close association with relict olivine grains, whereas in highly serpentinized rocks it occurs as discrete or aggregate grains intergrown with mesh-serpentine or in veins with magnetite. Magnetite is typically absent in the freshest dunitic rocks.





Figure 2. Representative photomicrographs of the main ultramafic protoliths at three increments of their serpentinization process with degree of serpentinization increasing from top to bottom; (dunite: a, d, g); (harzburgite/lherzolite: b, e, h); (pyroxene-rich samples: c, f, i). The scale bar in each photomicrograph is 1 mm. Also included for each, are the corresponding loss on ignition (LOI) (in wt%), density, and magnetic susceptibility. IL-Atg, interlocking antigorite; V-Lz, vein lizardite.

Fresh harzburgitic/lherzolitic rocks occur in all study areas and consist of anhedral orthopyroxene (2-6-mmsized) and clinopyroxene (0.5-3-mm-sized), anhedral to euhedral olivine, and subhedral to euhedral equant Cr-spinel (Figure 2b) (McGoldrick et al., 2018; Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019). Serpentinization in the relatively fresh samples affects olivine almost exclusively, with orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and spinel remaining relatively unchanged with the exception of minor magnetite occurring along grain boundaries (Figures 2b and 2e) and minor orthopyroxene alteration to bastite (McGoldrick et al., 2018). Early serpentinization of olivine in harzburgitic/lherzolitic rocks is similar to dunitic rocks in that it is primarily restricted to cross-cutting lizardite veins (Figure 2b) that increase in thickness with progressive serpentinization. In the more pervasively serpentinized harzburgitic/lherzolitic rocks, olivine and pyroxene are progressively pseudomorphed by lizardite or antigorite, which occurs as blocky to tabular interlocking crystals in the matrix, as anastomosing vein networks, and as bastite (Figure 2h). Although the textures are typical of lizardite and antigorite, the specific serpentine variety was not ascertained. Some pervasively serpentinized samples also exhibit evidence for a third stage of serpentinization involving the formation of chrysotile in veins (e.g., Steinthorsdottir, 2021). Brucite in harzburgitic/lherzolitic rocks that are >60 %serpentinized occurs in close association with olivine, as grains in the lizardite groundmass, or as aggregates or veins spatially associated with serpentine and/or magnetite (Figure 2h). Rocks in which antigorite dominates rarely contain brucite. Magnetite occurs both as a pseudomorph of primary spinel and as newly formed grains in the serpentine matrix, as veinlets along former grain boundaries, as larger cross-cutting veins and, locally intergrown with awaruite and sulphides (Britten, 2017; Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019); magnetite clearly increases in grain size and abundance with greater degree of serpentinization (Figure 2h).

Pyroxene-rich rocks, which include olivine-websterites and websterites/pyroxenites (<10% olivine) are typically relatively fresh (Figure 2c), with hydration resulting mainly in the replacement of any olivine that





Figure 3. Representative photomicrographs of the three main carbonated assemblages with degree of carbonation increasing from top to bottom; (a) ophicarbonate; (b) soapstone; (c) listwanite. Scale bar in each photomicrograph is 1 mm. Also included for each, are the corresponding loss on ignition (LOI) and CO_2 (in wt%), density, and magnetic susceptibility.

is present by serpentine (Figures 2f and 2i). As with the other ultramafic protoliths, early serpentinization is localized along olivine intragrain fractures with progressive serpentinization fully replacing the grains. Brucite is typically not observed in pyroxene-rich lithologies and magnetite occurs in relatively low abundances and is restricted to areas in which olivine has been serpentinized. Pyroxene-rich rocks commonly contain talc, chlorite, and/or tremolite.

Early carbonation consists of thin magnesite-calcite veins cross-cutting serpentine veins, as small grains within a matrix of interlocking antigorite, or within bastite grains. Such rocks are termed ophicarbonates and are characterized by mineral assemblages dominated by serpentine with minor magnesite and talc (<20 vol% each) (Figure 3a). Progressive carbonation results in the formation of soapstone, which is characterized by a mineralogy dominated by magnesite, with >10 vol% talc, <10 vol% quartz (Figure 3b), and the gradual disappearance of serpentine from the assemblage. The most highly carbonated rocks are termed listwanite (also referred in the literature to as listvenite, listvanite, or listwaenite: e.g., Menzel et al., 2018) and these consist primarily of magnesite and >10 vol% quartz with minor talc, and local fuchsite (Cr-mica) (Figure 3c). Brucite in carbonated rocks is restricted to uncarbonated sub-domains in a relatively minor number of ophicarbonates. Magnetite is restricted to relict serpentinite sub-domains in ophicarbonate and soapstone.

3.2. Protolith Discrimination and Changes in Volatile Content

The changes in major-element composition during the alteration of ultramafic rocks is generally thought to result from passive dilution by volatiles (e.g., Deschamps et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2005; Niu, 2004). In such a system, the molar ratio $[(Mg + Fe^{tot} + Ca)/Si]$ (herein referred to as the OPE: olivine-pyroxene elemental ratio: Steinthorsdottir, 2021) of the samples should provide a reasonable approximation of the olivine/pyroxene in the protolith with OPE > 1.93 = opx-poor dunite (95-100 wt% olivine), OPE 1.42–1.93 = opx-rich dunite (90–95 wt% olivine) and harzburgite/ lherzolite/wehrlite (50-90 wt% olivine), OPE 1.15-1.42 = olivine websterite (10-50 wt% olivine), and OPE <1.15 = websterite/pyroxenite (<10 wt% olivine); opx-rich dunite was combined with harzburgites as there were not many samples in this category. At a given OPE (isolines in Figure 4a), the samples show a systematic increase in loss on ignition (LOI) with decreasing major-element cation concentrations, which would support the inference that any changes in major-element chemistry are due to passive volatile dilution. Using the OPE as a protolith discriminant, most samples have OPE between 1.52 and 1.87, which corresponds to harzburgitic/lherzolitic protoliths (Figure 4a). For uncarbonated samples (<1 wt% CO₂), these geochemical discriminations are consistent with petrological and textural observations and agree well with various normalizations schemes (Figure S4). The same approach could not be used for carbonated samples due to the extensive overprinting of primary textures; instead, these are subdivided on the basis of their mineral assemblages as outlined in Section 3.1.

Uncarbonated samples are identified here as having <1 wt% CO_2 and have LOI of up to 14.5 wt%, reflecting the progressive incorporation of H_2O during serpentinization (R1: Figure 4b). For carbonated samples, changes in their volatile composition involve (a) an increase in CO_2 and decrease in H_2O contents along a linear trajectory (R2–R4: Figure 4b) from ~12 wt% H_2O and <1 wt% CO_2 to 0 wt% H_2O and 28.99 wt%





Figure 4. Major-element changes with progressive serpentinization and carbonation (a) using [(Mg + Fetot + Ca)/Si] to characterize the proportion of olivine (2.00) to pyroxene (1.00) in the ultramafic protolith; solid isochemical lines indicate a peridotitic protolith, while dashed lines indicate an (olivine-)websteritic protolith. (b) Serpentinization and carbonation reactions tracked by H₂O and CO₂ contents; concentrations of H₂O are determined by subtracting CO₂ from loss on ignition (LOI). Red arrows are the trajectories expected based on changes in the molar proportions of H₂O and CO₂, whereas the solid black line is a regression of samples with CO₂ > 1 wt% and H₂O > 0 wt% and the dashed lines represent the 95% confidence interval.



Figure 5. A calibration for determining %serpentinization using loss on ignition (LOI) and olivine-pyroxene elemental (OPE) ratio. The black solid line represents a regression of the sample set and dashed lines represent the 95% confidence interval. Note the more condensed color scale for LOI compared to Figure 4a.

CO₂ (y-intercept) and (b) continued increase in CO₂ at 0 wt% H₂O. A regression of samples representing R2 to R4 yields a slope of -2.43 ± 0.07 $(R^2 = 0.92; n = 121:$ Figure 4b), which is identical to what would be expected on the basis of changes in the molar mass of a system in which each molecule of H_2O is being replaced by CO_2 (-2.44) (Figure 4b). Although some samples show small deviations from the expected volatile regression, significant loss or gain of the principal cation constituents would have resulted in deviations from such a trend and more scatter in the regression. This provides additional evidence that the systems appear to have behaved broadly isochemically with respect to the major elements and involved mainly passive dilution due to the addition of volatiles. The continued gain in CO₂ in H₂O-free samples likely reflects some nonisochemical behavior given that many of these samples also plot to the left of the OPE = 2.00 isoline (Figure 2a); this may be in the form of Mg, Ca, or Fe gain or Si loss (e.g., Back et al., 2004; Malvoisin, 2015; Paulick et al., 2006; Snow & Dick, 1995).

4. Mineralogical and Physical Property Changes During Serpentinization and Carbonation

4.1. Determining %Serpentinization From Major-Element Chemistry

To calibrate LOI as a proxy for degree of serpentinization, the LOI of uncarbonated samples were normalized to their OPE and this was compared to the vol% of nonrelict minerals as determined by qXRD (Figure 5). This normalization accounts for the tendency for olivine-rich samples to yield





Figure 6. (a) Mineralogical and (b–e) physical property variations during serpentinization and carbonation; mineral abundances were determined by quantitative X-ray diffraction (XRD) and alteration is tracked by changes in volatile content (loss on ignition: LOI in wt%); *K*, magnetic susceptibility; NRM, natural remanent magnetization.

greater abundances of brucite (e.g., Klein et al., 2020), which would have a higher bulk-rock LOI at a given %serpentinization due to the greater water content of brucite relative to serpentine (e.g., D'Antonio & Kristensen, 2004). A regression of the data yields a linear relationship ($R^2 =$ 0.91) for determining %serpentinization:

%serpentinization =
$$13.3(\pm 0.4)\left(\frac{\text{LOI}}{\text{OPE}}\right) - 1(\pm 2)$$
 (1)

where LOI is in wt%, OPE is the olivine-pyroxene elemental ratio, and %serpentinization refers to 100%—(vol% olivine + pyroxene); uncertainties were determined by quadratic addition of slope and intercept uncertainties. We note that qXRD results have typical relative errors of $\pm 10\%$ -15% (Turvey et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2009) although these effects may be relatively minimal as the data are remarkably consistent despite having been analyzed on two different instruments using different analytical procedures and 15 years apart. Additional uncertainty in this formulation may be introduced due to the potential occurrence of primary spinel, secondary metamorphic olivine, or dehydration due to subsequent metamorphism; however, this too we consider to have minimal effect as primary spinel is typical at abundances of <2 vol% and metamorphic olivine is relatively uncommon in the studied samples. From here on, the %serpentinization of any uncarbonated samples use the value determined by Equation 1.

4.2. Changes in Mineralogy and Physical Properties During Serpentinization

Weakly serpentinized (<25 %serpentinized) samples exclusively have OPE <1.42 (Figures 6 and 7) requiring significant pyroxene content; this would dilute the amount of olivine available for serpentinization and is consistent with the higher resistance of pyroxene-particularly clinopyroxene-to hydration relative to olivine. Moderately to pervasively serpentinized (>25 %serpentinized) samples typically have OPE >1.42 and CaO <1 wt%, indicating that they are dominated by olivine and orthopyroxene. For such rocks, the increasing serpentinization (as indicated by LOI) is also reflected in the quantitative estimates of decreasing relict mineral (olivine + pyroxene) and increasing serpentine abundances (Figure 6a). Brucite and total spinel (i.e., spinel + magnetite) abundances are variable at a given LOI (0-10 vol% and 0-6 vol%, respectively); however, they occur in rocks with as low as 3-4 wt% LOI (30 %serpentinized) and generally increase in abundance with increasing LOI (Figure 6a-inset). Brucite abundances increase for samples with higher OPE (Figure 7a). Spinel abundances also increase for samples with higher OPE but peak at values of ~1.65 after which abundances decrease; above OPE of 1.93 (>95 vol% olivine) spinel abundances sharply decrease (Figure 7b). Rocks with very high brucite contents (>4 vol%) typically have low spinel contents (<2 vol%) (Figure 7).

With increasing serpentinization, the density of the samples decreases consistently from ~3.20 g/cm³ to ~2.55–2.65 g/cm³ (Figures 6b, 8a, and 9). Within a given interval of low- to moderate-serpentinization (25%–50% and 50%–75%), density decreases with increasing OPE; however, above 75 %serpentinization, densities are similar across all samples (Figure 8). The decreasing density with increasing serpentinization defines a linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.94$; n = 214; 11 rejected) (Figure 9a):

%serpentinization =
$$-169(\pm 3) \times \left[\text{Density} - 3.224(\pm 0.008) \right]$$
 (2)





Figure 7. Olivine-pyroxene elemental (OPE) ratio versus (a) brucite and (b) total spinel abundance as determined by quantitative X-ray diffraction (XRD).

where %serpentinization is defined by Equation 1, and density is in g/cm^3 ; the 11 samples rejected from the regression have residuals outside 2SD of the mean.

Samples typically have porosities of <1% regardless of the degree of serpentinization and OPE, with the exception of samples with an intermediate OPE of 1.42–1.63 (equivalent to 40–60 vol% olivine) and LOI >10 wt%, which have porosities of up to 3.25% (Figure 6c). Density and brucite contents linearly correlate only for samples with OPE >1.93 (>95 vol% olivine) and appears locality specific: samples from Atlin and Nahlin, which occur immediately along strike of each other (Zagorevski et al., 2018) show lower brucite contents for a given density compared to the other localities (Figure 9b).

At a given LOI, magnetic susceptibility varies by over two orders of magnitude. Rocks that are <25 %serpentinized have magnetic susceptibilities <3 × 10^{-3} SI for (Figure 8). From 25 to 75 %serpentinization, the median values and the range in observed values increases across all OPE classes (Figure 8). Above 75 %serpentinization, samples with OPE between 1.42 and 1.93 (40–95 vol% olivine) show relatively consistent and high magnetic susceptibilities values, while samples with OPE <1.42 and >1.93 show greater variability and much lower median values (Figure 8).

The general increase in magnetic susceptibility with %serpentinization (Figure 9c) is best defined by an exponential regression ($R^2 = 0.31$; n = 203; 8 rejected):

%serpentinization =
$$\frac{\ln \kappa}{0.037} - 2.54$$
 (3)

where %serpentinization is defined by Equation 1, and κ is magnetic susceptibility in SI × 10⁻³. Although this formulation is by no means robust, the data show that magnetic susceptibilities of >20 SI × 10⁻³ rarely occur in samples that are <60 %serpentinized with the exception of those with OPE >1.93. Magnetic susceptibility correlates strongly with spinel contents for the various OPE intervals ($R^2 > 0.85$: Figure 9d).

The samples show a wide range of NRM values, spanning ~4 orders of magnitude and these broadly increase with increasing serpentinization (Figures 6e and 8c). NRM does not appear to vary systematically as a function of OPE except for samples that are >75 % serpentinized for which it generally decreases with increasing olivine content. The samples hold relatively high Koenigsberger ratios (Q-ratio) (Figure 8d) with 48% having values greater than 1. Samples that are <50 % serpentinized show a wide range in values that do not vary systematically with increases in % serpentinization or OPE (Figure 8). For rocks that are >50 % serpentinized, there is significant overlap in the Q-ratios across the various OPE categories; however, in general, for rocks that are 50–75 % serpentinized, the median Q-ratio values broadly increase with increasing olivine content, whereas for rocks that are >75 % serpentinized, median values show the reverse relation-ship (Figure 8d).

4.3. Changes in Mineralogy and Physical Properties During Carbonation

The first phase of carbonation (R2) involves a gradual decrease in serpentine and increase in magnesite abundance, to form ophicarbonate rocks. This is reflected in samples with LOI as low as 8–10 wt% but is most common beginning at \sim 12–13 wt% LOI; ophicarbonate rocks have LOI up to \sim 20 wt% (Figure 6a). The first stage of carbonation is also associated with a disappearance of brucite from the assemblage (Figure 6a-inset). The physical properties of ophicarbonate samples are indistinguishable from those of highly serpentinized samples with OPE 1.42–1.93 (Figure 8).

The second phase of carbonation (R3) is identified by a sharp drop in serpentine abundance concomitant with a more pronounced increase in magnesite and talc abundance to form soapstone (Figure 6a). The median density of soapstone is higher than that of ophicarbonate at ~ 2.86 g/cm³ (Figures 6b and 8a) and



Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems



Figure 8.





Figure 9. Changes in (a) density and (c) magnetic susceptibility as a function of %serpentinization showing the most appropriate regression (solid line) and the 95% confidence interval (dashed lines). Variations in (b) brucite and (d) spinel contents with density and magnetic susceptibility, respectively. XRD, X-ray diffraction.

porosity is relatively low (<1%: Figure 6c), while median values of magnetic susceptibility, NRM, and *Q*-ratio are lower than ophicarbonate samples, although there are variable degrees of overlap (Figures 6d, 6e and 8b-8d).

The final stage of carbonation (R4) involves the final consumption of serpentine-group minerals, a continued increase in magnesite abundance, a decrease in talc content, and an increase in quartz abundance to form listwanite (Figure 6a). Such rocks may also locally contain the Cr-mica, fuchsite. Relative to soapstone, listwanite samples show a further increase in the median density to ~2.91 g/cm³ (Figures 6b and 8a), have variable porosity (up to 2.5%: Figure 6c), and show a continued decrease in median magnetic susceptibility and NRM to <10 SI × 10⁻³ (Figures 6d and 8b) and <1 A/m (Figures 6e and 8c), respectively. The *Q*-ratios for listwanite samples are typically <1, which is similar to that of soapstones (Figure 8d).

Figure 8. Box-and-whisker plots of (a) density, (b) magnetic susceptibility, (c) natural remanent magnetization (NRM), and (d) Koenigsberger ratio (Q-ratio) for various degrees of serpentinization and carbonation. The boxes are drawn around the interquartile range (IQR) with the horizontal line representing the median value, which is given to the right of its respective box. Whiskers represent minimum and maximum values with outliers excluded, which were determined using the Tukey test where filled circles are beyond $1.5 \times IQR$ and open circles beyond $3 \times IQR$. Colors for serpentinites reflect the olivine-pyroxene elemental ratio (OPE) scale shown in Figure 6 with the exception of the blue boxes, which represent all samples with OPE <1.42. Colors for carbonated samples also reflects the symbology shown in Figure 6.





Figure 10. Forward models of ultramafic rock mineralogy shown on the Henkel plot (density vs. log(magnetic susceptibility) for (a) serpentinites and (b) carbonated ultramafic rocks. Mineral end-members were estimated based on both the theoretical physical properties and the observed physical properties and quantitative X-ray diffraction mineral abundances for the samples. Data from Toft et al. (1990) and references therein and Maffione et al. (2014) shown as black data points in part (a). OPE, olivine-pyroxene elemental.

4.4. Co-Variation of Density and Magnetic Susceptibility

On the Henkel plot (density vs. log magnetic susceptibility) the serpentinite samples follow two main trajectories (Figure 10a). The first (T1: Figure 10a) involves a decrease in density and increase in magnetic susceptibility with serpentinization from ~ 3.2 g/cm³ and 0.3 SI $\times 10^{-3}$ converging to values of 2.6–2.7 g/cm³ and 100 SI $\times 10^{-3}$; this is followed by most harzburgitic samples and a minor proportion of dunitic samples. The second trajectory (T2: Figure 10a) involves decreasing density with only slight increases in magnetic susceptibility from ~ 3.2 g/cm³ and 0.3 SI $\times 10^{-3}$ converging to values of 2.6–2.7 g/cm³ and 0.5–20 SI $\times 10^{-3}$;

this is commonly observed for dunitic samples and a relatively minor number of harzburgitic samples. The high susceptibility trajectory is widely reported (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Toft et al., 1990); however, the low susceptibility trajectory, although proposed by Toft et al. (1990) on the basis of typical serpentinization reactions; is rarely observed and documented. Toft et al. (1990) proposed that harzburgitic samples would follow the first trajectory regardless of the volumetric proportions of olivine and orthopyrox-ene (e.g., Equations 25–32 in Figure 4D of Toft et al., 1990) and this is clearly reflected in our data set that show samples with variable OPE converging to a relatively consistent point. The first trajectory also appears to reflect the majority of samples globally (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Oufi et al., 2002; Toft et al., 1990). The second low susceptibility trajectory, although not commonly observed or documented, has been proposed to occur for both dunitic and harzburgitic rocks in a high fluid/rock environment (e.g., Equations 19–21 in Figure 4C of Toft et al., 1990).

For carbonated rocks (Figure 10b), the physical properties of ophicarbonate rocks are identical to the majority of highly serpentinized samples (high magnetic susceptibility and low density). The most prominent change occurs with subsequent carbonation of ophicarbonate samples and is reflected in increasing density and decreasing magnetic susceptibility observed in soapstone and listwanite samples; the main difference between soapstone and listwanite samples is in a slight increase in density.

5. Magnetic Susceptibility Alone Is Not an Accurate Predictor of Extent of Serpentinization

Most prior studies investigating the physical property changes occurring during serpentinization have been focused on rocks with harzburgitic protoliths (e.g., Maffione et al., 2014; Toft et al., 1990) as they volumetrically comprise the bulk of ophiolitic complexes (Bodinier & Godard, 2014). Our data on rocks spanning the full range of ultramafic protoliths have added new insight into the behavior of rocks during serpentinization and corroborate the previous works on the stability of magnetite.

Due to the mineralogical simplicity of ultramafic rocks, their chemistry and physical properties vary relatively predictably during serpentinization. We have presented three new formulations (Equations 1-3) for determining the %serpentinization of a given ultramafic rock on the basis of whole-rock major-element chemistry (LOI and OPE), density, or magnetic susceptibility, which were calibrated using quantitative mineralogical estimates (Figure 4); the major-element chemistry- and density-based formulations are far more robust than that based on magnetic susceptibility as has been noted previously (e.g., Li et al., 2020; Maffione et al., 2014; Oufi et al., 2002). The principal requirement for using these formulations is that it must be ascertained that a given sample is minimally carbonated (<1 wt% CO₂). In uncarbonated rocks, increased volumes of brucite will tend to result in higher LOI, while in ophicarbonate rocks, carbonation may not always be obvious petrographically as it can be highly domainal and fine-grained, which could also potentially skew LOI to higher levels. These two factors could account for inconsistencies observed in using LOI in previous studies (e.g., Deschamps et al., 2013). Density-based calibrations have been previously calibrated (e.g., Miller & Christensen, 1997; Oufi et al., 2002) and yield similar results to Equation 2; ours differs in that the %serpentinization of the rock has been robustly constrained using quantitative mineralogical determinations and whole-rock chemistry on >200 samples. We have also shown here (Figure 9b) that for dunitic rocks, density should be a relatively accurate predictor of brucite content but that this may be site specific.

Estimating %serpentinization using magnetic susceptibility is far less reliable than density and chemistry as indicated by the regression statistics in Equation 3 and as shown in Maffione et al. (2014). This inconsistency may be a product of many factors, including that our samples reflect the full diversity of ultramafic of protoliths and role of mineral chemistry (Mg/Fe in the primary silicates) and the extent and conditions of serpentinization (temperature, pH, $aSiO_2$, and oxygen fugacity) in controlling the stability of magnetite and that of the specific serpentine polymorphs and brucite (e.g., Evans, 2008; Evans et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2017; Klein et al., 2014; Li et al., 2020; McCollum, Klein, Moskowitz, et al., 2020; McCollum, Klein, Solheid, & Moskowitz, 2020; Miyoshi et al., 2014; O'Hanley & Dyar, 1993). A two-stage serpentinization process for ophiolitic harzburgite is commonly inferred involving: (a) initial replacement of olivine to form Fe-rich lizardite serpentine and brucite at temperatures <200°C; (b) orthopyroxene breakdown and Si release, which leads to the formation of more magnesian antigorite serpentine and brucite, magnetite, and

hydrogen at temperatures of 200–300°C (e.g., Bach et al., 2006; Beard et al., 2009; Frost et al., 2013; Klein et al., 2014, 2020; Miyoshi et al., 2014). As dunitic rocks lack or contain only minimal orthopyroxene, only the first serpentinization stage would be expected for such lithologies and magnetite production would not be expected. The data set presented herein demonstrates this well in that highly serpentinized dunitic rocks typically contain similar spinel contents to their less-serpentinized equivalents (Figure 9) and consequently show relatively low magnetic susceptibilities (<10 SI × 10⁻³) compared to harzburgitic-lherzolitic rocks (Figure 7), which show consistently high values (>50 SI × 10⁻³: Figure 8). This would indicate that, while magnetic susceptibility may be able to accurately identify highly serpentinized harzburgitic rocks, it may not be able to do so for dunitic or pyroxenite-rich lithologies or to distinguish if the rocks are slightly carbonated (ophicarbonates rocks). We do note; however, that the reverse temperature trend has been shown for some abyssal peridotites (e.g., Su et al., 2015); although this seems to be in the minority. Additionally, this two-stage process for the generation of magnetic may only apply to ultramafic rocks originating in the oceanic crust (ophiolitic or abyssal) as highly magnetic dunitic rocks have been observed in komatiitic units and mafic-ultramafic intrusions (ter Maat et al., 2019; Williams, 2009).

Although harzburgitic-lherzolitic rocks, in general, behave more predictably in terms of their increased magnetite production with serpentinization, there is a subset of samples with OPE between 1.42 and 1.74 that shows high degrees of serpentinization, but very low magnetic susceptibilities (<10 SI \times 10⁻³) (Figure 6). These are dominated by samples from the Nahlin locality with a minor number of samples from Decar. The Decar samples exhibiting low magnetic susceptibilities are relatively uncommon and are distinct in that they show evidence for a third stage of serpentinization comprising mainly of chrysotile that overprints the more typical pervasive lizardite and/or antigorite serpentinization (e.g., Milidragovic & Grundy, 2019; Steinthorsdottir, 2021). Since rocks nearby do not show evidence for this third serpentinization phase and do contain abundant magnetite, it is most likely that these samples simply reflect localized regions of transient permeability that destabilized magnetite. Interpretation of the Nahlin samples is more problematic due to a lack of access to the samples or detailed textural documentation of serpentinization. Nevertheless, among the 38 harzburgitic-lherzolitic Nahlin samples, only five of these show magnetic susceptibilities >10 SI \times 10⁻³ despite being >50 %serpentinized. There is evidence that the melt-depleted rocks of the Nahlin ophiolite were re-fertilized prior to serpentinization to form intergranular base metal sulphides, clinopyroxene, and Cr-spinel (Lawley et al., 2020). Such an event may be the reason that magnetite formation was inhibited. It would, thus, seem that although magnetic susceptibility in general tends to behave predictably for harzburgitic rocks, which comprise the bulk of ophiolitic ultramafic rocks, there are locality-specific features or events that may inhibit the use of magnetic susceptibility as a proxy for estimating %serpentinization.

6. Identifying Ultramafic Carbon Sinks Using Physical Properties

Mineral carbonation of ultramafic rocks can be done through either *in situ* or *ex situ* methods; the former involves injection of pressurized CO_2 at depths of >2 km (e.g., Kelemen & Matter, 2009), whereas the latter would involve introduction of CO_2 into finely crushed material at the surface or passive exposure to the atmosphere (e.g., Power et al., 2013). For *ex situ* carbonation, the occurrence of brucite is key due to its high reactivity at surface pressure and temperature conditions, whereas *in situ* carbonation models invoke the use of olivine as the prime mineral target for carbonation (Kelemen & Matter, 2009). The CO_2 and H_2O contents of the rocks in this study clearly define two linear arrays (Figure 4b) documenting an initial serpentinization (hydration) event followed by a later carbonation only occurred where ultramafic rocks were previously highly serpentinized. We suggest several explanations for this observation:

Although serpentinization and carbonation reactions are both thermodynamically favored at low temperatures (Kelemen et al., 2020), there may be major kinetic barriers to the direct carbonation of olivine and pyroxene (olivine + pyroxene→carbonate + quartz), such that carbonation may only proceed after hydration reactions have created layered silicates (serpentine) and hydroxides (brucite). If serpentinization is a prerequisite for the carbonation of ultramafic rocks, then both *ex situ* and *in situ* carbon sequestration plans (e.g., Kelemen & Matter, 2009; Power et al., 2013) should consider targeting serpentinized rather than fresh mantle rock.



- 2. The restriction of carbonation to previously serpentinized rock may reflect the evolution of fluid pathways in these initially dry ultramafic rocks whereby initial serpentinization caused by the infiltration of H_2O -rich fluids along fractures would increase permeability (e.g., Kelemen & Hirth, 2012), resulting in preferred pathways for fluid flow which were later used by CO_2 -bearing fluids. In this scenario, the carbonated portions of the ultramafic massifs in this study all experienced a similar two-stage fluid infiltration history with early H_2O -rich fluids followed by later CO_2 -bearing fluids, with the latter only infiltrating fully serpentinized fracture zones.
- 3. Carbonation is driven by the infiltration of H₂O-rich, CO₂-bearing fluids (e.g., Paukert et al., 2012) that drives serpentinization fronts ahead of carbonation fronts as a natural consequence of the relative abundances of the two chemical components and the fluid composition being buffered by carbonation reactions. If this reaction pathway is a natural consequence of the infiltration of H₂O-rich, CO₂-bearing fluids into peridotite, then *in situ* direct carbonation of olivine without prior serpentinization (Fo + CO₂→Mgs + Qz) would presumably require the injection of CO₂-rich solutions; none of the localities in this study provide a geologic record of such a process.

6.1. Modeling Ultramafic Rock Mineralogy

Maximum serpentine and brucite contents occur in samples with 10-14 wt% LOI (>75 %serpentinized) (Figure 6a). In the absence of characterizing the mineral abundance and reactivity of samples in great detail, the physical properties of ultramafic rocks should be effective at predicting-at a first order-mineral content and, thus, a rough estimate of the reactivity of a given volume of rocks. Such an approach has been used to identify the boundaries and extent of carbonation within a serpentinite body (e.g., Hansen et al., 2005; Tominaga et al., 2017) and scanning magnetic microscopy has been used to identify magnetic sources at the micron-scale (Pastore et al., 2018); geophysical techniques have yet to be applied for identifying highly serpentinized ultramafic rocks at the outcrop-scale. Two approaches for doing so for serpentinites include: (a) taking physical property measurements of hand-samples or drill-core and/or (b) using physical property models to inform geophysical survey inversions. We follow the methods outlined in Enkin et al. (2020), in which the relationship between physical properties and mineral abundances were used as a forward model to calibrate the Henkel plot (Figure 10) and then to inverse model the mineralogy based on physical properties. In this approach, minerals with similar physical properties and that behave in a similar way are grouped together into mineral endmembers and mixing lines with volumetric proportions are calibrated. Three endmembers are used to construct two models: one for (uncarbonated) serpentinites and another for carbonated rocks. In both, end-members and mineral mixing lines are constructed by considering both the theoretical published values for various minerals and the vol% abundance of constituent minerals in the samples as constrained by qXRD.

6.1.1. Forward Modeling

For the serpentinites (Figure 10a), the three mineralogical end-members that we consider are: ultramafic silicates (UM), serpentine and brucite (SB), and magnetite (M). For UM, we use a density of 3.224 g/cm³ and magnetic susceptibility of 0.3 SI \times 10⁻³; the former is based on the intercept of Equation 2, while the latter was chosen to encompass all minimally serpentinized samples. For SB, we use a density of 2.558 g/cm³ and magnetic susceptibility of $0.03 \text{ SI} \times 10^{-3}$; the density was determined from using a 100 % serpentinized value applied to Equation 2 and then assuming 3 vol% magnetite as this would be consistent with the magnetic susceptibility of the samples. The magnetic susceptibility of the SB component was chosen to encapsulate all uncarbonated samples with minimal porosity. A major unknown for ultramafic rocks is the proportion of primary spinel to magnetite; the former is most common in unserpentinized rocks and cannot be distinguished using XRD. Consequently, for the M endmember, we use a density and magnetic susceptibility of 5.20 g/cm³ and 3000 SI \times 10⁻³, respectively, which is based on empirical studies that suggest these values to be representative of magnetite regardless of grain size (Heider et al., 1996; C. Peters & Dekkers, 2003). On the Henkel plot for carbonated rocks (Figure 10b), the same SB and M end-members are used for serpentinites but UM is replaced with an endmember reflecting the physical properties of magnesite (MS); although quartz and talc may also be present in carbonated rocks, magnesite is by far the most volumetrically significant. For MS, we use a density of 3.00 g/cm^3 and magnetic susceptibility of $0.3 \text{ SI} \times 10^{-3}$; the former is based on the density of magnesite, while the latter was chosen to fully encapsulate all samples.





Figure 11. Modeled mineralogy of serpentinites (a–c) and carbonated ultramafic rocks (d–f) compared to mineral abundances as determined by quantitative X-ray diffraction (qXRD). Hydrous minerals encompasses any minerals formed by the hydration of ultramafic rocks and includes serpentine, brucite, actinolite, and chlorite. Carbonation minerals includes carbonate minerals (magnesite, dolomite, and calcite), talc, and quartz. All regressions (solid lines) were done after omitting outliers, which were identifying by their residuals being outside of 2 SD of the mean.

6.1.2. Inverse Modeling

For rocks that plot within the curves defined by the forward modeling, we can invert the model to provide estimated volumetric mineral abundances. Following the same framework as in Enkin et al. (2020), for the serpentinite model we have three relationships with three unknowns:

$$UM + SB + M = 1$$

$$(UM \times d_{UM}) + (SB \times d_{SB}) + (M \times d_{M}) = d$$

$$(UM \times K_{UM}) + (SB \times K_{SB}) + (M \times K_{M}) = K$$

where d = density K = magnetic susceptibility, and UM, SB, and M, refer to the volumetric mineral abundances of ultramafic minerals, serpentine + brucite, and magnetite. These are combined as follows:

1	1	1	UM		1	
$d_{\rm UM}$	$d_{\rm SB}$	$d_{\rm M}$	SB	=	d	
$K_{\rm UM}$	$K_{\rm SB}$	K	М)	K)

Thus,

 $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{UM} \\ \mathbf{SB} \\ \mathbf{M} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ d_{\mathbf{UM}} & d_{\mathbf{SB}} & d_{\mathbf{M}} \\ K_{\mathbf{UM}} & K_{\mathbf{SB}} & K_{\mathbf{M}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ d \\ K \end{pmatrix}$

where d_x and K_x refer to the values assigned to the end-member mineral compositions as defined in the forward model, d and K refer to the bulk rock measured density and magnetic susceptibility, respectively. A similar relationship can be constructed for carbonated rocks but substituting the properties for UM with those of MS. When the inverse modeling is applied to the measured physical properties of the serpentinites, the results show a near 1:1 correlation (Figure 11) for the calculated abundances of hydrous (mostly serpentine and brucite; SB) and primary igneous (olivine + pyroxene; UM) minerals and those determined

using qXRD. The model magnetite contents are consistently lower than the total spinel as determined by qXRD, which is incapable of separating out the magnetite concentration; this result is to be expected and the model results are considered an accurate estimate of the abundance of magnetite based on there being no (or only negligible quantities) of other highly magnetic minerals present in these rocks (e.g., magnetic sulphides and alloys).

When the inverse model is applied to the carbonated samples, the carbonation minerals (mostly magnesite + talc + quartz) are consistently overestimated for ophicarbonates and underestimated for listwanites and the opposite is true for hydrous minerals (mostly serpentine). This discrepancy can be explained by the model assumptions that the MS end-member is approximated by the physical properties of magnesite. Overestimation of MS in ophicarbonates could be caused by the presence of relict primary ultramafic minerals, which are still present in such rocks at abundances of up to 15 vol%. Overestimation of MS in listwanites likely reflects the presence of quartz in the assemblage at abundances of up to 20 vol%. The correlation between calculated and qXRD abundances is shown in Figure 11 and can be used to correct for the systematic bias. In carbonated samples, the calculated magnetite contents of carbonated rocks are highly variable but cluster around the 1:1 line with qXRD spinel abundances. We note that several samples of our samples fall outside the field defined by the mixing lines and would thus yield negative modal abundances according to the inverse model. Samples with densities lower than the SB-M mixing line, likely reflect increased porosity; porosities of 1%–4% are observed in our samples.

6.2. Using Geophysics to Identify and Quantify Ultramafic Carbon Sinks

For a given identified ultramafic body, whose mapped boundaries are relatively well known, the ultimate goal would be to identify and quantify the volumes of serpentinized rocks using remote sensing (i.e., geo-physical surveys). The most accurate interpretations of geophysical data sets, such as aeromagnetic or gravity surveys, will involve integration of robust physical property-lithology models. Dunitic protoliths (OPE >1.93) tend to generate the highest brucite content and, thus, will be most reactive, however, harzburgitic rocks (OPE 1.42–1.93) can contain relatively high brucite contents and are volumetrically the most significant in ophiolite massifs and, thus, their physical properties will dominate any geophysical signature.

The systematic drop in density with increased serpentinization across all protoliths indicates that gravity surveys should be the most accurate means for assessing the degree of alteration of a given ultramafic body. If available, and at a sufficiently high resolution, an upper limit of ~2.8 g/cm³ would be effective at identifying most highly serpentinized rocks while excluding fresher and moderately to highly carbonated rocks. However, high-resolution gravity surveys are expensive. Although using magnetic susceptibility to predict the degree of serpentinization is subject to more unpredictable physical property behavior, magnetic surveys are more widespread. In general, highly serpentinized harzburgitic rocks show values of >20 SI × 10⁻³ with median values of >50 SI × 10⁻³. A lower cut-off of 20 SI × 10⁻³ should identify all highly serpentinized harzburgitic samples and would exclude many rocks that are <60 %serpentinized and those that are moderately to highly carbonated (soapstones and listwanites).

We note that weakly carbonated rocks (ophicarbonates) have identical physical properties to harzburgitic rocks (Figures 7 and 10) and, thus, cannot be discriminated using physical properties and, by extension, geophysical surveys. While the above physical properties limits will result in the identification of false positives—mostly commonly in the form of weakly carbonated rocks—it will rarely result in false negatives. For *ex situ* carbonation, which relies on highly reactive minerals such as brucite that are no longer stable in the presence of any CO_2 , the inclusion of ophicarbonates will dilute the overall reactivity of a given volume as ophicarbonate rocks are commonly in close proximity to, or intercalated with, uncarbonated rocks. On the other hand, for *in situ* carbonation, which could also make use of serpentine minerals, ophicarbonates are still a viable option given the abundance of such minerals in these rocks.

A complication of applying magnetic susceptibility models to magnetic survey data is that the total magnetization of a given anomaly will reflect a combination of its remanent and induced magnetic fields (Gee & Kent, 2007). Any samples with Koenigsberger ratios (Q-ratio) <1 will have total magnetization signatures dominated by their induced magnetization (magnetic susceptibility). Samples with Q-ratio >1 will have total magnetization signatures dominated by their NRM and the strength of a given anomaly will

depend on its coherence (direction). The high *Q*-ratios recorded in many harzburgitic samples that are <75 %serpentinized (Figure 8d) likely reflects the lack of high volumes of serpentinization-related magnetite and, thus, relatively low observed magnetic susceptibilities (Figures 6d, 8a and 8b). In contrast, the observation of a large range in NRM values (Figures 6e, 8a and 8b) but decreasing median *Q*-ratios (Figure 8d) in more serpentinized samples likely reflects the increased magnetite production during serpentinization. In the absence of information on the direction of the preserved NRM, we refrain from calculating hypothetical total effective susceptibilities; future work will include detailed analysis using oriented samples. Nevertheless, the power of physical property models of ultramafic rocks to inform geophysical inversions with the goal of prospecting for serpentinites was demonstrated by Mitchinson et al. (2020). More detailed analysis of NRM will refine such models for a more accurate assessment of the carbon sequestration capacity of a given volume of ultramafic rock.

6.3. Implications for Imaging Hydration and Carbonation in Subduction Zones

At depths >30–50 km in subduction zones, H_2O-CO_2 fluids liberated from the downgoing plate infiltrate and react with the overlying forearc mantle wedge, which in turn provides a record of slab-derived fluid infiltration integrated over millions of years (e.g., Hyndman & Peacock, 2003). Experiments by Yardley et al. (2014) demonstrate that hydration reactions are rapid (months to weeks) confirming the rate of serpentinization is likely controlled by the H_2O flux to the reaction front (Macdonald & Fyfe, 1985). The hydration and carbonation history of ultramafic rocks detailed in this study provides insight into similar reactions expected to occur in the mantle wedge. Rock density systematically decreases with increasing serpentinization, but later carbonation increases rock density (Figure 6b) suggesting that gravity data cannot be simply inverted to determine the degree of mantle-wedge serpentinization. Similarly, strong, deep magnetic anomalies have been cited as evidence of extensive forearc serpentinization in Cascadia (Blakely et al., 2005) and other subduction zones. The results presented here suggest serpentinization dramatically increases the magnetic susceptibility of harzburgite, but not dunite. If these results can be extrapolated to the elevated *P-T* conditions of mantle-wedge serpentinization, this implies that the hydrated Cascadia mantle wedge is made up mostly of harzburgite.

This study documents the sequential replacement of serpentinites during CO_2 infiltration to form magnesite, talc, and ultimately quartz, which occurred, in part because the ultramafic rocks were interleaved with, and obducted onto, carbonate-bearing sedimentary rocks of the Cache Creek terrane (e.g., Zagorevski et al., 2018). In the case of ultramafic rocks occurring in the mantle wedge in subduction zones worldwide, although the infiltration of CO_2 -bearing fluids likely occurs as a result of the subduction of carbonate sediments and carbonate-bearing oceanic crust, the reactions and resulting mineral assemblages would be expected to be similar to those observed here and the resulting rheological changes to subduction zone dynamics could be profound. Specifically, deformation experiments (Escartín et al., 2008; Moore & Lockner, 2008) demonstrate that talc is an extremely weak mineral over a wide range of crustal *P-T* conditions, and serpentinite is dramatically weakened by the presence of minor amounts of talc (Moore & Lockner, 2011). If such minerals were to form along the base of the mantle wedge, this would affect the rheology of the subduction plate interface.

7. Conclusions

We present petrological observations, major-element chemistry, quantitative mineralogy, and physical properties from >400 samples of variably serpentinized and carbonated ophiolitic ultramafic rocks collected from the Cache Creek (Atlin) terrane in British Columbia to constrain the physio-chemical changes that occur during the alteration of ultramafic rocks. The samples show a systematic decrease in density during serpentinization that largely reflects a drop in relict ultramafic mineral abundances and an increase in serpentine minerals and an increase in density during carbonation that mostly reflects the formation of magnesite. The samples show two magnetic susceptibility trends during serpentinization: one involves a 100fold increase in magnetic susceptibility and is followed by most harzburgitic samples, whereas the second involves very little change in magnetic susceptibility and is followed by most dunitic samples and a minor proportion of harzburgitic samples. Using the quantitative mineralogy and physical property relationships, we provide a model that can be used to estimate the mineralogy of variably altered ultramafic rocks (at a



first-order). These results can be used as a basis for interpreting gravity or magnetic geophysical surveys in order to prospect for the most prospective ultramafic rocks for carbon sequestration and, potentially, to aid in imaging subduction zone lithological heterogeneity.

Data Availability Statement

All data forming the basis for this paper are available at http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5213140.

References

- Bach, W., Paulick, H., Garrido, C. J., Ildefonse, B., Meurer, W. P., & Humphris, S. E. (2006). Unraveling the sequence of serpentinitzation reactions: Petrography, mineral chemistry, and petrophyscis of serpentinites from MAR 15°N (ODP Leg 209, Site 1274). *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L13306. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006gl025681
- Back, W., Garrido, C. J., PaulickHarvey, H. J., & Rosner, M. (2004). Seawater-peridotite interactions: First insights from ODP Leg 209, MAR 15 N. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 5(9). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GC000744
- Beard, J. S., Frost, B. R., Fryer, P., McCaig, A., Searle, R., Ildefonse, B., et al. (2009). Onset and progression of serpentinization and magnetite formation in olivine-rich troctolite from IODP Hole U1309D. *Journal of Petrology*, 50, 387–403. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/ egp004
- Bideau, D., Hebert, R., Hekinian, R., & Cannat, M. (1991). Metamorphism of deep-seated rocks from the Garrett Ultrafast Transform (East Pacific rise near 13°25' S). Journal of Geophysical Research, 96(B6), 10079–10099. https://doi.org/10.1029/91JB00243
- Bina, M. M., & Henry, B. (1990). Magnetic properties, opaque mineralogy and magnetic anisotropies of serpentinized peridotites from ODP Hole 670A near the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 65(1–2), 88–103. https://doi. org/10.1016/0031-9201(90)90078-c
- Blakely, R. J., Brocher, T. M., & Wells, R. E. (2005). Subduction-zone magnetic anomalies and implications for hydrated forearc mantle. Geology, 33, 445–448. https://doi.org/10.1130/G21447.1
- Bodinier, J.-L., & Godard, M. (2014). Orogenic, ophiolitic, and abyssal peridotites. In R. W. Carlson (Ed.), Treatise of geochemistry (2nd ed., Vol. 4, pp. 103–167). https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-095975-7.00204-7
- Bonnemains, D., Charlut, J., Escartín, J., Evel, C. M., Andreani, M., & Debret, B. (2016). Magnetic signatures of serpentinization at ophiolite complexes. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 17(8), 2969–2986. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006321
- Britten, R. (2017). Regional metallogeny and genesis of a new deposit type—Disseminated awaruite (Ni₃Fe) mineralization hosted in the Cache Creek Terrane. *Economic Geology*, *112*, 517–550. https://doi.org/10.2113/econgeo.112.3.517
- Burch, S. H. (1968). Tectonic emplacement of the Burro Mountain ultramafic body, southern Santa Lucia Range, California. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 79, 527–546. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1968)79[527:TEOTBM]2.0.CO;2
- Colpron, M., & Nelson, J. L. (2011). A digital atlas of terranes for the Northern Cordillera. Yukon Geological Survey. Retrieved from www. geology.gov.yk.ca
- D'Antonio, M., & Kristensen, M. B. (2004). Serpentine and brucite of ultramafic clasts from the South Chamorro Seamount (Ocean Drilling Program Leg 195, Site 1200): Inferences for the serpentinization of the Mariana forearc mantle. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 68(6), 887–904. https://doi.org/10.1180/0026461046860229
- de Obeso, J. C., & Kelemen, P. B. (2018). Fluid rock interactions on residual mantle peridotites overlain by shallow oceanic limestones: Insights from Wadi Fins, Sultanate of Oman. *Chemical Geology*, 498, 139–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.09.022
- Deschamps, F., Godard, M., Guillot, S., & Hattori, K. (2013). Geochemistry of subduction zone serpentinites: A review. *Lithos*, 178, 96–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.05.019
- Enkin, R. J., Hamilton, T. S., & Morris, W. A. (2020). The Henkel petrophysical plot: Mineralogy and lithology from physical properties. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20, e2019GC008818. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GC008818
- Escartín, J., Andreani, M., Hirth, G., & Evans, B. (2008). Relationships between the microstructural evolution and the rheology of talc at elevated pressures and temperatures. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 268, 463–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.02.004
- Escartín, J., Hirth, G., & Evans, B. (1997). Effects of serpentinization on the lithosphere strength and the style of normal faulting at low-spreading ridges. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 151, 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-821X(97)81847-X
- Escartín, J., Hirth, G., & Evans, B. (2001). Strength of slightly serpentinized peridotites: Implications for the tectonics of oceanic lithosphere. *Geology*, *29*, 1023–1026. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<1023:SOSSPI>2.0.CO;2
- Evans, B. W. (2008). Control on the products of serpentinization by the Fe²⁺-Mg_{.1} exchange potential of olivine and orthopyroxene. *Journal* of *Petrology*, 49(10), 1873–1887. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egn050
- Evans, B. W., Kuehner, S. M., & Chopelas, A. (2009). Magnetite-free, yellow lizardite serpentinization of olivine websterite, Canyon Mountain complex, N.E. Oregon. *American Mineralogist*, *94*, 1731–1734. https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2009.3301
- Falk, E. S., & Kelemen, P. B. (2015). Geochemistry and petrology of listvenite in the Samail ophiolite, Sultanate of Oman: Complete carbonation of peridotite during ophiolite emplacement. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 160, 70–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. gca.2015.03.014
- Frost, B. R., Evans, K. A., Swapp, S. M., Beard, J. S., & Mothersole, F. E. (2013). The process of serpentinization in dunite from New Caledonia. *Lithos*, 178, 24–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.02.002
- Gee, J. S., & Kent, D. V. (2007). 5.12—Source of oceanic magnetic anomalies and the geomagnetic polarity timescale. In G. Schubert (Ed.), *Treatise on geophysics* (pp. 455–507). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-044452748-6.00097-3
- Guillot, S., & Hattori, K. (2013). Serpentinites: Essential roles in geodynamics, arc volcanism, sustainable development, and the origin of life. *Elements*, 9, 95–98. https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.9.2.95
- Hamilton, J. L., Wilson, S. A., Morgan, B., Harrison, A. L., Turvey, C. C., Paterson, D. J., et al. (2020). Accelerating mineral carbonation in ultramafic mine tailings via direct CO₂ reaction and heap leaching with potential for base metal enrichment and recovery. *Economic Geology*, 115, 303–323. https://doi.org/10.5382/econgeo.4710

Acknowledgments

The authors thank FPX Nickel Corp. and Dejan Milidragovic for facilitating access to samples from the Decar and Hogem areas. Alex Zagorevski is thanked for providing samples and data from the King Mountain, S. Yukon, Nahlin, and parts of Atlin; these samples were collected as part of the Geo-Mapping for Energy and Minerals-2 (GEM-2) program. The authors also acknowledge that all samples used in this study were collected from the traditional and unceded territories of Taku River Klingit, Kaska Dena, Tāłtān Konelīne, Carcross/Tagish, Teslin Tlingit, Tl'azt'en, Binche Whut'en, Yekooche, and Takla First Nations. This manuscript was greatly improved by constructive reviews and comments from Juan Carlos de Obeso and an anonymous reviewer and the editorial handling of Joshua Feinberg. Funding is provided by Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) discovery grants to G. M. Dipple (RGPIN-2015-05036) and S. M. Peacock (RGPIN-2020-07066), and Natural Resources Canada Clean Growth Program grant (CGP-17-0739), and Geoscience BC grant (2018-038) to G. M. Dipple.

- Hansen, L. D. (2005). Geologic setting of listwanite, Atlin, B.C.: Implications for carbon dioxide sequestration and lode-gold mineralization (Master's thesis). University of British Columbia. Retrieved from https://open.library.ubc.ca/cIRcle/collections/ubctheses/831/ items/1.0052391
- Hansen, L. D., Anderson, R. G., Dipple, G. M., & Nakano, K. (2004). Geological setting of listwanite (carbonated serpentinite) at Atlin, British Columbia: Implications for CO₂ sequestration and lode-gold mineralization (Current Research 2004-A5, p. 12). Geological Survey of Canada. https://doi.org/10.4095/216138
- Hansen, L. D., Dipple, G. M., Gordon, T. M., & Kellett, D. A. (2005). Carbonated serpentinite (listwanite) at Atlin, British Columbia: A geological analogue to carbon sequestration. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, 43, 225–239. https://doi.org/10.2113/gscanmin.43.1.225
- Heider, F., Zitzelsberger, A., & Fabian, K. (1996). Magnetic susceptibility and remanent coercive force in grown magnetite crystals from 0.1 um to 6 mm. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 93, 239–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(95)03071-9
- Henkel, H. (1994). Standard diagrams of magnetic properties and density—A tool for understanding magnetic petrology. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 32(1), 43–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-9851(94)90008-6
- Hostetler, P. B., Coleman, R. G., & Evans, B. W. (1966). Brucite in alpine serpentinites. American Mineralogist, 51, 75-98.
- Huang, R., Lin, C.-T., Sun, W., Zhan, W., & Zhu, J. (2017). The production of iron oxide during peridotite serpentinization: Influence of pyroxene. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 8, 1311–1321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2017.01.001
- Hulbert, L. (1997). Geology and metallogeny of the Kluane mafic-ultramafic belt, Yukon Territory, Canada: Eastern Wrangellia—A new Ni-Cu-PGE metallogenic terrane (Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 506, p. 265).
- Hyndman, R. D., & Peacock, S. M. (2003). Serpentinization of the forearc mantle. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 212, 417–432. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0012-821x(03)00263-2
- Juteau, T. (2003). Identification of a mantle unit in ophiolites: A major step in the evolution of the ophiolite concept. In Y. Dilek, & S. Newcomb (Eds.), Ophiolite concept and the evolution of geological thought (Vol. 373, pp. 1–16). Geological Society of America- Special Papers. https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2373-6.31
- Kelemen, P. B., Aines, R., Bennett, E., Benson, S. M., Carter, E., Coggon, J. A., et al. (2018). In situ carbon mineralization in ultramafic rocks: Natural processes and possible engineered methods. *Energy Procedia*, 146, 92–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.07.013 Kelemen, P. B., & Hirth, G. (2012). Reaction-driven cracking during retrograde metamorphism: Olivine hydration and carbonation. *Earth*
- Reference, P. B., & Hirth, G. (2012). Reaction-uriven cracking during retrograde metamorphism: Onlyine hydration and carbonation. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 345–348, 81–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.06.018
 Kelemen, P. B., & Matter, J. (2009). In situ carbonation of peridotite for CO, storage. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
- 105(45), 17295–17300. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805794105
- Kelemen, P. B., McQueen, N., Wilcox, J., Renforth, P., Dipple, G., & Vankeuren, A. P. (2020). Engineered carbon mineralization in ultramafic rocks for CO, removal from air: Review and new insights. *Chemical Geology*, 550, 119628.
- Klein, F., Bach, W., Humphris, S. E., Kahl, W. A., Jöns, N., Moskowitz, B., & Berquó, T. S. (2014). Magnetite in seafloor serpentinite-Some like it hot. *Geology*, 42(2), 135–138. https://doi.org/10.1130/g35068.1
- Klein, F., Bach, W., & McCollom, T. M. (2013). Compositional controls on hydrogen generation during serpentinization of ultramafic rocks. *Lithos*, 178, 55–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2013.03.008
- Klein, F., Humphris, S. E., & Bach, W. (2020). Brucite formation and dissolution in oceanic serpentinite. *Geochemical Perspectives Letters*, 16, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.7185/geochemlet.2035
- Klein, F., & Le Roux, V. (2020). Quantifying the volume increase and chemical exchange during serpentinization. *Geology*, 48(6), 552–556. https://doi.org/10.1130/g47289.1
- Kodolányi, J., Pettke, T., Spandler, C., Kamber, B. S., & Gméling, K. (2012). Geochemistry of ocean floor and fore-arc serpentinites: Constraints on the ultramafic input to subduction zones. *Journal of Petrology*, 53, 235–270. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egr058
- Lawley, C. J. M., Petts, D. C., Jackson, S. E., Zagorevski, A., Pearson, D. G., Kjarsgaard, B., et al. (2020). Precious metal mobility during serpentinization and breakdown of base metal sulphide. *Lithos*, 354–355, 105278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.105278
- Li, Z., Moskowitz, B. M., Zheng, J., Xiong, Q., Zhou, X., Yang, J., et al. (2020). Petromagnetic characteristics of serpentinization and magnetite formation at the Zedang ophiolite in southern Tibet. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 125, e2020JB019696. https:// doi.org/10.1029/2020JB019696
- Macdonald, A. H., & Fyfe, W. S. (1985). Rates of serpentinization in seafloor environments. *Tectonophysics*, 116, 123–135. https://doi. org/10.1016/0040-1951(85)90225-2
- Maffione, M., Morris, A., Plümper, O., & van Hinsbergen, D. J. J. (2014). Magnetic properties of variably serpentinized peridotites and their implications for the evolution of oceanic core complexes. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 15, 923–944. https://doi. org/10.1002/2013GC004993
- Malvoisin, B. (2015). Mass transfer in the oceanic lithosphere: Serpentinization is not isochemical. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 430, 75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.07.043
- McCollum, T. M., Klein, F., Moskowitz, B., Berquó, T. S., Bach, W., & Templeton, A. S. (2020). Hydrogen generation and iron partitioning during experimental serpentinization of an olivine-pyroxene mixture. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 282, 55–75. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.05.016
- McCollum, T. M., Klein, F., Solheid, P., & Moskowitz, B. (2020). The effect of pH on rates of reaction and hydrogen generation during serpentinization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A*, 378, 20180428. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0428
- McGoldrick, S., Canil, D., & Zagorevski, A. (2018). Contrasting thermal and melting histories for segments of mantle lithosphere in the Nahlin ophiolite, British Columbia, Canada. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 173, 25. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-018-1450-9
- McGoldrick, S., Zagorevski, A., & Canil, D. (2017). Geochemistry of volcanic and plutonic rocks from the Nahlin ophiolite with implications for a Permo-Triassic arc in the Cache Creek terrane, northerwestern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 54, 1214–1227. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2017-0069
- Menzel, M. D., Garrido, C. J., Sánchez-Vizcaíno, V. L., Marchesi, C., Hidas, K., Escayola, M. P., & Huertas, A. D. (2018). Carbonation of mantle peridotite by CO₂-rich fluids: The formation of listvenites in the Advocate ophiolite complex (Newfoundland, Canada). *Lithos*, 323, 238–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2018.06.001
- Mervine, E. M., Wison, S. A., Power, I. M., Dipple, G. M., Turvey, C. C., Hamilton, J. L., et al. (2018). Potential for offsetting diamond mine carbon emissions through mineral carbonation of processed kimberlite: An assessment of De Beers mine sites in South Africa and Canada. *Mineralogy and Petrology*, 112(2), 755–765. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00710-018-0589-4
- Milidragovic, D., & Grundy, R. (2019). Geochemistry and petrology of rocks in the Decar area, central British Columbia: Petrologically constrained subdivision of the Cache Creek complex. In *Geological fieldwork 2018* (British Columbia Geological Survey Paper 2019-01, pp. 55–77). British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.

- Milidragovic, D., Grundy, R., & Schiarizza, P. (2018). Geology of the Decar area north of Trembleur Lake, NTS 93K/14. In *Geological fieldwork 2018* (British Columbia Geological Survey Paper 2018-01, pp. 129–142). British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.
- Miller, D. J., & Christensen, N. I. (1997). Seismic velocities of lower crustal and upper mantle rocks from the slow-spreading Mid-Atlantic Ridge, south of the Kane transform zone (MARK). Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program Scientific Results, 153, 437–454. https:// doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.153.043.1997
- Mitchinson, D., Cutts, J., Fournier, D., Naylor, A., Dipple, G., Hart, C. J. R., et al. (2020). The carbon mineralization potential of ultramafic rocks in British Columbia: A preliminary assessment (Geoscience BC Report 2020-15/MDRU Publication 452, p. 25).
- Miyoshi, A., Togiso, T., Ishikawa, N., & Mibe, K. (2014). Role of silica for the progress of serpentinization reactions: Constraints from successive changes in the mineralogical textures of serpentinites from Iwanaidake ultramafic body, Japan. American Mineralogist, 99, 1035–1044. https://doi.org/10.2138/am.2014.4748
- Moore, D. E., & Lockner, D. A. (2008). Talc friction in the temperature range 25°–400°C: Relevance for fault-zone weakening. *Tectonophysics*, 449, 120–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2007.11.039
- Moore, D. E., & Lockner, D. A. (2011). Frictional strengths of talc-serpentine and talc-quartz mixtures. Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, B01403. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010jb007881
- Niu, Y. (2004). Bulk-rock major and trace element compositions of abyssal peridotites: Implications for mantle melting, melt extraction and post-melting processes beneath mid-ocean ridges. *Journal of Petrology*, 45, 2423–2458. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egh068
- O'Hanley, D. S. (1996). Serpentinites, records of tectonic and petrological history (Oxford Monographs on Geology and Geophysics no 34).
 O'Hanley, D. S., & Dyar, M. D. (1993). The composition of lizardite 1T and the formation of magnetite in serpentinites. American Mineralogist, 78, 391–404.
- Oufi, O., Cannat, M., & Horen, H. (2002). Magnetic properties of variably serpentinized abyssal peridotites. Journal of Geophysical Research, 107(5). https://doi.org/10.1029/2001jb000549
- Pastore, Z., McEnroe, S. A., ter Maat, G. W., Oda, H., Church, N. S., & Fumagalli, P. (2018). Mapping magnetic sources at the millimeter to micrometer scale in dunite and serpentinite by high-resolution magnetic microscopy. *Lithos*, 323, 174–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lithos.2018.09.018
- Paukert, A. N., Matter, J. M., Kelemen, P. B., Shock, E. L., & Havig, J. R. (2012). Reaction path modelling of enhanced in situ CO₂ mineralization for carbon sequestration in the peridotite of the Samail Ophiolite, Sultanate of Oman. *Chemical Geology*, 330–331, 86–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.08.013
- Paulick, H., Bach, W., Godard, M., De Hoog, J. C. M., Suhr, G., & Harvey, J. (2006). Geochemistry of abyssal peridotites (mid-Atlantic ridge, 15°20'N, ODP Leg 209); implications for fluid/rock interaction in slow spreading environments. *Chemical Geology*, 234(3–4), 179–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2006.04.011
- Peters, C., & Dekkers, M. J. (2003). Selected room temperature magnetic parameters as a function of mineralogy, concentration and grain size. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 28, 659–667. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-7065(03)00120-7
- Peters, D., Pettke, T., John, T., & Scambelluri, M. (2020). The role of brucite in water and element cycling during serpentinite subduction— Insights from Erro Tobbio (Liguria, Italy). *Lithos*, 360–361, 105431. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105431
- Plümper, O., Røyne, A., Magraso, A., & Jamtveit, B. (2012). The interface-scale mechanism of reaction-induced fracturing during serpentinization. Geology, 40, 1103–1106. https://doi.org/10.1130/G33390.1
- Power, I. M., Dipple, G. M., Bradshaw, P. M. D., & Harrison, A. L. (2020). Prospects for CO₂ mineralization and enhanced weathering of ultramafic mine tailings from the Baptiste nickel deposit in British Columbia, Canada. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 94, 102895. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2019.102895
- Power, I. M., Harrison, A. L., & Dipple, G. M. (2018). From natural analogues to engineered systems. In Geochemistry of geologic CO2 sequestration (Vol. 77, p. 305). https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2013.77.9
- Power, I. M., Wilson, S. A., & Dipple, G. M. (2013). Serpentinite carbonation for CO₂ sequestration. *Elements*, 9, 115–121. https://doi. org/10.2113/gselements.9.2.115
- Saad, A. H. (1969). Magnetic properties of ultramafic rocks from Red Mountain, California. *Geophysics*, 34, 974–987. https://doi.org/10.1190/1.1440067
- Shive, P. N., Frost, B. R., & Peretti, A. (1988). The magnetic properties of metaperidotitic rocks as a function of metamorphic grade: Implications for crustal magnetic anomalies. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 93(B10), 12187–12195. https://doi.org/10.1029/jb093ib10p12187
 Snæbjörnsdóttir, S. Ó., Sigfússon, B., Marieni, C., Goldberg, D., Gislason, S. R., & Oelkers, E. H. (2020). Carbon dioxide storage through
- mineral carbonation. Nature Reviews Earth and Environment, 1, 90–102. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-019-0011-8 Snow, J. E., & Dick, H. J. B. (1995). Pervasive magnesium loss by marine weathering of peridotite. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*,
- 59(20), 4219-4235. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00239-V
- Steinthorsdottir, K. (2021). Formation and preservation of brucite and awaruite in a serpentinized ultramafite, central BC: Implications for carbon sequestration and nickel recovery (Master's thesis) The University of British Columbia.
- Steinthorsdottir, K., Cutts, J., Dipple, G., Milidragovic, D., & Jones, F. (2020). Origin and serpentinization of ultramafic rocks in dismembered ophiolite north of Trembleur Lake, central British Columbia. In *Geological fieldwork 2019* (British Columbia Geological Survey Paper 2020-01, pp. 49–58). British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources.
- Su, K., Liu, Q., Jiang, Z., & Duan, Z. (2015). Mechanism of magnetic property changes of serpentinites from ODP Holes 897D and 1070A. Science China Earth Sciences, 58(5), 815–829. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11430-014-5019-9
- Tauxe, L. (2010). Essentials of paleomagnetism (p. 489). University of California Press.
- ter Maat, G. W., McEnroe, S. A., Church, N. S., & Larsen, R. B. (2019). Magnetic mineralogy and petrophysical properties of ultramafic rocks: Consequences for crustal magmatism. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 20*, 1794–1817. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GC008132
- Toft, P. B., Arkani-Hamed, J., & Haggerty, S. E. (1990). The effects of serpentinization on density and magnetic susceptibility: A petrophysical model. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 65, 137–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-9201(90)90082-9
- Tominaga, M., Beinlich, A., Lima, E. A., Tivey, M. A., Hampton, B. A., Weiss, B., & Harigane, Y. (2017). Multi-scale magnetic mapping of serpentinite carbonation. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01610-4
- Turvey, C. C., Hamilton, J. L., & Wilson, S. A. (2018). Comparison of Rietveld-compatible structureless fitting analysis for accurate quantification of carbon dioxide fixation in ultramafic mine tailings. *American Mineralogist*, 103(10), 1649–1662. https://doi.org/10.2138/ am-2018-6515
- Tutolo, B. M., Mildner, D. F. R., Gagnon, C. V. L., Saar, M. O., & Seyfried, W. E. (2016). Nanoscale constraints on porosity generation and fluid flow during serpentinization. *Geology*, 44, 103–106. https://doi.org/10.1130/G37349.1



- Vanderzee, S. S. S., Dipple, G. M., & Bradshaw, P. M. D. (2019). Targeting highly reactive labile magnesium in ultramafic tailings for greenhouse-gas offsets and potential tailings stabilization at the Baptiste deposit, central British Columbia (NTS 093K/13, 14). In *Geoscience BC summary of activities 2018: Minerals and mining* (Geoscience BC Report 2019-1, pp. 109–118).
- Viti, C. (2010). Serpentine minerals discrimination by thermal analysis. American Mineralogist, 95(4), 631–638. https://doi.org/10.2138/ am.2010.3366
- Whiteford, C. M., & Lumb, J. T. (1975). A catalog of physical properties of rocks. In Listing by rock type (Vol. 3). Geophysics Division, N.Z. Geological Survey Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.
- Wicks, F. J., & Whittaker, E. J. W. (1977). Serpentine textures and serpentinization. The Canadian Mineralogist, 15, 459–488. https://doi. org/10.1038/sc.1977.39
- Williams, N. C. (2009). Mass and magnetic properties for 3D geological and geophysical modelling of the southern Agnew-Wiluna Greenstone Belt and Leinster nickel deposits, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 56, 1111–1142. https://doi.org/10.1080/08120090903246220
- Wilson, S. A., Harrison, A. L., Dipple, G. M., Power, I. M., Barker, S. L. L., Mayer, K. U., et al. (2014). Offsetting of CO₂ emissions by air capture in mine tailings at the Mount Keith Nickel Mine, Western Australia: Rates, controls and prospects for carbon neutral mining. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 25, 121–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2014.04.002
- Wilson, S. A., Raudsepp, M., & Dipple, G. M. (2009). Quantifying carbon fixation in trace minerals from processed kimberlite: A comparative study of quantitative methods using x-ray powder diffraction data with applications to Diavik Diamond Mine, Northwest Territories, Canada. Applied Geochemistry, 24, 2312–2331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2009.09.018
- Yardley, B. W. D., Rhede, D., & Heinrich, W. (2014). Rates of retrograde metamorphism and their implications for the rheology of the crust: An experimental study. *Journal of Petrology*, 55, 623–641. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egu001
- Zagorevski, A. (2020). Whole-rock geochemical data compilation supporting Geo-mapping for Energy and Minerals Cordillera syntheses, British Columbia and Yukon (Geological Survey of Canada Open File 8674, p. 1). zip file. https://doi.org/10.4095/323678
- Zagorevski, A., Soucy La Roche, R., Golding, M., Joyce, N., Regis, D., & Coleman, M. (2018). Stikinia bedrock, British Columbia and Yukon: GEM-2 Cordillera Project, report of activities 2018 (Geological Survey of Canada Open File 8485, p. 12). https://doi.org/10.4095/311325